UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/641,866  | 08/18/2000  | Charles E. Bernasconi | 087354-0108         | 7547             |
| 22428 7590 04/09/2008<br>FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP<br>SUITE 500<br>3000 K STREET NW |             |                       | EXAMINER            |                  |
|   |             |                       | RAPILLO, KRISTINE K |                  |
| WASHINGTON  |             |                       | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                       | 3626                |                  |
|   |             |                       |                     |                  |
|   |             |                       | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |             |                       | 04/09/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/641,866 BERNASCONI ET AL Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit KRISTINE K. RAPILLO 3626 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) KRISTINE K. RAPILLO. (3)William Ellis. (2) Robert Morgan. (4)\_\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 03 April 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 88. Identification of prior art discussed: N/A. Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\square$ was reached. g) $\square$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed possible changes to the claim language, in particular amended claim 88, in regard to the updating and posting steps to better convey Applicant's invention. Applicant indicated that a supplemental amendment will be filed within 1 week. However, any changes to the scope will require further searching and consideration.. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. /Robert Morgan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3626

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required